arXiv:1601.06406v1 [math-ph] 24 Jan 2016

STOCHASTIC QUANTIZATION FOR THE FRACTIONAL EDWARDS MEASURE.

WOLFGANG BOCK, TORBEN FATTLER, AND LUDWIG STEIT

Abstract. We prove the existence of a diffusion process whose invariant measure is the fractional polymer or Edwards measure for fractional Brownian motion in dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$ fulfilling $dH < 1$. The diffusion is constructed via Dirichlet form techniques in infinite dimensional (Gaussian) analysis. Moreover, we show that the process is invariant under time translations.

1. Introduction

For a given probability measure ν on a measureable space X the stochastic quantization of ν means the construction of a Markov process which has ν as an invariant measure. Stochastic quantization has been studied first by Parisi and Wu for applications in quantum field theory, which were extended to Euclidean quantum fields.

The two-dimensional polymer measure is informally given as

$$d\mu_g = Z^{-1} e^{-gL} d\mu_0,$$

where μ_0 denotes the Wiener measure, L the self-intersection local time of Brownian motion and Z is a normalization constant. In the two-dimensional case stochastic quantization for this "polymer measure" has been studied by Albeverio, Roeckner, Hu and Zhou [1]. Here we follow their approach, using Dirichlet forms also in the fractional case.

Intersection local times L of Brownian motion have been studied for a long time and by many authors, see e.g. [1],[2], [5]-[6], [10], [15], [17], [19] and [25]-[30], the intersections of Brownian motion paths have been studied even since the Forties [18]. One can consider intersections of sample paths with themselves or e.g. with other, independent Brownian motions e.g. [29], one can study simple [6] or n-fold intersections e.g. [7], [19] and one can ask all of these questions for linear, planar, spatial or - in general - d-dimensional Brownian motion: self-intersections become increasingly scarce as the dimension d increases.

A somewhat informal but very suggestive definition of self-intersection local time of a Gaussian process Y is in terms of an integral over Dirac's - or Donsker's - δ-function

$$L(Y) \equiv \int d^2t \, \delta(Y(t_2) - Y(t_1)),$$

where for now $Y = B$ is a Brownian motion, intended to sum up the contributions from each pair of "times" t_1, t_2 for which the process Y is at the same point. In Edwards' modeling of long polymer molecules by Brownian motion paths, L is

Date: January 26, 2016.

used to model the "excluded volume" effect: different parts of the molecule should not be located at the same point in space. As another application, Symanzik [25] introduced L as a tool in constructive quantum field theory.

A rigorous definition, such as e.g. through a sequence of Gaussians approximating the δ-function, will lead to increasingly singular objects and will necessitate various "renormalizations" as the dimension d increases. For $d > 1$ the expectation will diverge in the limit and must be subtracted [17], [26], as a side effect such a local time will then no more be positive. For $d > 3$ various further renormalizations have been proposed [27] that will make L into a well-defined generalized function of Brownian motion. For $d = 3$ a multiplicative renormalization gives rise to an independent Brownian motion as the weak limit of regularized and subtracted approximations to L [30]; another renormalization has been constructed by Westwater to make the Gibbs factor $e^{-g \cdot L}$ of the polymer model well-defined [28].

In this article we first introduce the setting along the lines of white noise or Gaussian analysis, using the fractional white noise measure. This can be compared to the approach in [22]. Moreover the results from [24] concerning the gradient are extended to this setting. We show in the framework of Dirichlet forms, that there exists a Markov process which has the fractional polymer measure as invariant measure. The proof is based on the results of [13] and [14], which show that the self-intersection local time in the case $Hd < 1$ is Meyer-Watanabe differentiable. The closability of the gradient Dirichlet form is then shown by an integration by parts argument. The irreducibility follows as in the Brownian case, see [1].

2. Framework

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$ fractional Brownian motion in dimension d is a $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued centered Gaussian process $\left(B_t^H\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with covariance

$$\operatorname{cov}_H(t,s) := \mathbb{E}\left[B_t^H B_s^H\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right), \quad s,t \in [0,\infty).$$

For $s \in (0,\infty)$ let $\Theta_s := \mathbb{1}_{[0,s)}$ and set $\left(\Theta_s, \Theta_t\right)_H := \operatorname{cov}_H(t,s)$ for $s,t \in [0,\infty)$. Moreover, let $X := \operatorname{span}\left\{\Theta_s \,\middle|\, s > 0\right\}$. Hence $x, y \in X$ are of the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \Theta_{s_i}, \quad y = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_j \Theta_{t_j}$$

with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\left(x,y\right)_H := \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_i\, \beta_j \left(\Theta_{s_i}, \Theta_{t_j}\right)_H$$

defines an inner product on X. Taking the abstract completion of the inner product space $\left(X, (\cdot,\cdot)_H\right)$ we obtain a Hilbert space $\left(\mathcal{H}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H\right)$, extending $(\cdot,\cdot)_H$ to $\mathcal{H}$.

Moreover, $\left(\mathcal{H}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H\right)$ has a countable orthonormal basis $\left(\eta_k\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$1 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \ldots < \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} < \ldots \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} < \infty.$$

Next we consider

$$\mathcal{H} \ni f \mapsto Af := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left\langle f, \eta_k \right\rangle_{H} \eta_k \in \mathcal{H}$$

and define for $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{H}_p := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} \,\middle|\, \|A^p f\|_H < \infty \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N} := \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_p,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_H$ denotes the induced norm on $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\mathcal{N}$ is a countably Hilbert space, which is Fréchet and nuclear, compare e.g. [23]. Its topological dual is given by

$$\mathcal{N}' := \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{-p}.$$

Thus we obtain the Gel'fand triple

$$\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{N}'.$$

We denote complexifications by a subscript $\mathbb{C}$.

Now by the Bochner-Minlos-Sazanov theorem, see e.g. [3] or [11], we define a Gaussian measure μ_H on $\mathcal{N}'$ by

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}'} \exp\left(i\langle \omega, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right) \, d\,\mu_H(\omega) := \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|_H\right).$$

Remark 2.1. *Note that the measure has full support, i.e. every open set has positive measure. This can be seen by* [9]*, Thm. 6 or the fact that the measure is quasi translation invariant w.r.t. shifts in direction of the subspace $\mathcal{N}$ dense in $\mathcal{N}'$, compare e.g.* [12]*, chapter 4B.*

We obtain the probability space $(\mathcal{N}', \mathcal{C}_\sigma, \mu_H)$. Here $\mathcal{C}_\sigma := \sigma(\mathcal{C}^{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n}_{F_1,\dots,F_n})$ denotes the σ-algebra of cylinder sets

$$\mathcal{C}^{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n}_{F_1,\dots,F_n} = \Big\{ \omega \in \mathcal{N}' \,\Big|\, \langle \xi_1, \omega \rangle \in F_1, \dots, \langle \xi_n, \omega \rangle \in F_n, \\ \xi_i \in \mathcal{N}, F_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), j = 1, \dots, n, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \Big\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the σ-algebra of Borel sets in $\mathbb{R}$.

Note that since $\mathcal{N}$ is a nuclear countably Hilbert space we have, see e.g. [12]:

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{N}') = \mathcal{B}_w(\mathcal{N}') = \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{N}'),$$

where $\mathcal{B}_w(\mathcal{N}')$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{N}')$) is the Borel σ-algebra generated by the weak (resp. strong) topology.

We define by

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ p \in L^2(\mathcal{N}'; \mu_H) \,\middle|\, p = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, f^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \right\}$$

the space of smooth polynomials.

We intend to construct the stochastic quantization Markov process via a local Dirichlet form as e.g. in [8]. To this end we define differential operators as follows:

Definition 2.2. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $(\eta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{N}$ a CONS of $\mathcal{H}$. Setting*

$$D_{\eta_k} p(\omega) = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{p(\omega+\lambda\eta_k) - p(\omega)}{\lambda} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n\langle \eta_k \otimes \omega^{\otimes n-1}, f^{\otimes n}\rangle,$$

we define

$$\nabla p := (D_{\eta_k} p)_{k=1}^{\infty}.$$

Remark 2.3. *Note that this defines D_{η_k} and ∇ on a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{N}';\mu_H)$.*

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(D_{\eta_k}p)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} mn\langle\omega^{\otimes m-1}\otimes\eta_k, f^{\otimes m}\rangle\langle\omega^{\otimes n-1}\otimes\eta_k, f^{\otimes n}\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{N} m \sum_{n=1}^{N} n\langle\omega^{\otimes m+n+2}, (f,f)_{\mathcal{H}} f^{\otimes n+m-2}\rangle.$$

Furthermore for $u \in \mathcal{H}$ the adjoint $D_u^* = \langle\cdot, u\rangle_H - D_u$ on a dense subspace, e.g. polynomials in $L^2(\mathcal{N}';\mu_H)$, see e.g. [24].

3. Results

In the following we will just write L for $L(B^H)$, where B^H, $H \in (0, \frac{1}{d})$, is a d-dimensional fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter H.

Moreover we denote by ν_g the measure $\nu_g = e^{-gL}\mu_H$.

Theorem 3.1. *The bilinear form*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}(u,v) := \mathbb{E}(e^{-gL}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{P},$$

is a densely defined, closable, symmetric pre-Dirichlet form and gives rise to a local, quasi-regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}))$. Here $\mathbb{E}$ denotes expectation w.r.t. μ_H.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a diffusion process $\mathbf{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (P_\omega)_{\omega\in\mathcal{N}'})$ which is associated with $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}))$.*

Theorem 3.3. *There exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ (see Lemma 4.1 below), such that for all $g < c_0$ the form $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}))$ is irreducible (i.e. $u \in D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g})$ with $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}(u,u) = 0$ implies u is a constant), equivalently the associated diffusion is invariant under time translations.*

4. Proofs

Proof of Thm. 3.1: Note that the polynomials are dense in $L^2(\mathcal{N}'; e^{-gL}\mu_{H)}$, compare the proof of Prop. 10.3. [12], p.371 and Prop. 2.3.2 from [23]. We show closability using an integration by parts criterion. Let $(\eta_k)_k$ be a CONS. We can write

$$\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}(u,v) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-gL} D_{\eta_k} u \cdot D_{\eta_k} v\right).$$

It is enough to show, see e.g. [20], that each term in the sum is closable. Indeed we have for the components of the gradient:

$$\mathbb{E}(D_{\eta_k} u \cdot (v\exp(-gL))) = \mathbb{E}(uD^*_{\eta_k}(v\cdot\exp(-gL)))$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left(u\left(\langle\cdot,\eta_k\rangle v\exp(-gL) - D_{\eta_k} v - vgD_{\eta_k}L\right)\exp(-gL)\right). \quad (4.1)$$

We intend to show that the last expression is finite for $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$. This is evident for the first two terms. For the last one we have

$$|\mathbb{E}\left(v(D_{\eta_k}L)\exp(-gL)\right)| \leq \|v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N}';\mu_H)} \cdot \|D_{\eta_k}L\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N}';\mu_H)},$$

which is finite due to the Meyer-Watanabe differentiability of L, see e.g. [13]. For $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$ the expression (4.1) is well-defined, i.e. the adjoint of the gradient is densely defined. Hence the form is closable, see e.g. [16].
The rest follows by [20] Section IV.b., Thm. 3.5.

■

Proof of Thm. 3.2 The proof is an immediate result of [20] Section IV.b., Thm. 3.5. ■

For the proof of Theorem 3.3 we will follow the lines of [1]. In order to do so, we need a further result from [14].

Lemma 4.1 ([14], Thm. 1)**.** *Suppose that $Hd < 1$. Then the self-intersection local time L fulfills*

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{L^p}) < \infty,$$

for any $p < \frac{1}{Hd}$. Moreover there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for all $c < c_0$ one has

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{cL^{\frac{1}{Hd}}}) < \infty,$$

In particular, $\mathbb{E}(e^{cL})$ is then finite. This we will use in the following proof.

Proof of Thm. 3.3: For $\varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{N}', e^{-gL}\mu_H)$, and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, p, q > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int |\varphi|^{\frac{2}{p}}\, d\mu_H(\omega) &= \int |\varphi|^{\frac{2}{p}} \exp\Big(-\frac{g}{p}L\Big)\exp\Big(\frac{g}{p}L\Big)\, d\mu_H(\omega)\\ &\leq \left(\int |\varphi|^2 \exp(-gL)\, d\mu_H(\omega)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int \exp\Big(\frac{q}{p}gL\Big)\, d\mu_H(\omega)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder inequality. The last term is finite if $\frac{q}{p}g < c_0$ as in Lemma 4.1. In this case we obtain

$$\int |\varphi|^{\frac{2}{p}}\, d\mu_H(\omega) \leq C\|\varphi\|^{\frac{2}{p}}_{L^2(\mathcal{H};e^{-gL}d\mu_H)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Hence for any polynomial $v \in \mathcal{P}$, by setting $\varphi = \nabla v$, we have

$$\|\nabla v\|^2_{H,\frac{2}{p}} := \left(\int \|\nabla v\|^{\frac{2}{p}}_H d\mu_H(\omega)\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C\,\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}(v,v). \tag{4.3}$$

So we see already that $\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}(v,v) = 0$ implies $v = const.$ a.e. for any $v \in \mathcal{P}$.

Consider now $u \in D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g})$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g}(u,u) = 0$. Then by closability we find a sequence $(v_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}$ such that $v_n \to u$ in $D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_g})$. Then by (4.3) we see that $\|\nabla v_n\|_{H,\frac{2}{p}} \to 0$. The convergence in $L^2(\mathcal{N}'; e^{-gL}d\mu_H)$ implies convergence in $L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\mathcal{N}', d\mu_H)$, due to (4.2). The same holds for the gradients by (4.3).

Altogether, we have a polynomial sequence which approximates u in $D_{1,\frac{2}{p}}$. Here $D_{1,\frac{2}{p}}$ denotes the corresponding Malliavin–Sobolev space, see e.g. [21] Sect. 1.2. Thus by [21] Prop. 1.5.5. we obtain $u \in D_{1,\frac{2}{p}}$, if $\frac{2}{p} > 1$, which is the case if we choose $p \in (1,2)$.

Moreover, in this case we have that $\nabla u = 0$ a.e.. Then by [21] Prop. 1.2.5 , since $\nabla u = 0$ and $u \in D_{1,\frac{2}{p}}$, we find that $u = \mathbb{E}(u)$, which is a constant.

The properties hold μ_H-a.e. and thus $e^{-gL}\mu_H$-a.e. by absolute continuity of the measure.

Thus we have shown the assertion. ■

Acknowledgement: We truly thank M. Röckner for helpful discussions. Furthermore we thank M. Grothaus and M. J. Oliveira for helpful comments. Financial support by CRC 701 and the mathematics department of the University of Kaiserslautern for research visits at Bielefeld university are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] Albeverio, S., Hu,Y.-Z.,Röckner, M., Zhou, X. Y.: Stochastic Quantization of the Two-Dimensional Polymer Measure. Appl. Math. Optim. **40**, 341-354. (1996).

[2] Bass, R. F., Khoshnevisan, D., Intersection Local Times and Tanaka Formulas. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*, **29**, (1993), 419-451.

[3] Berezansky, Yu. M., Kondratiev, Yu. G., Spectral Methods in Infinite-Dimensional Analysis. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1988 (in Russian). English translation, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.

[4] de Faria, M., Hida, T., Streit, L., Watanabe, H., Intersection local times as generalized white noise functionals. Acta Appl. Math., 46:351–362, 1997.

[5] de Faria, M., Drumond, C., Streit, L., The renormalization of self-intersection local times. I. The chaos expansion. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 3(2):223–236, 2000.

[6] Dvoretzky, A., Erdös, P., Kakutani, S.Double points of paths of Brownian motion in n-space. *Acta Sci. math. Szeged*, **12**, (1950), 75-81.

[7] Dvoretzky, A., Erdös, P., Kakutani, S., Taylor, S. J., Triple points of the Brownian motion in 3-space. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **53** (1957), 856–862.

[8] Fukushima, M., Oshima, Y., Takeda, M.: Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes. de Gruyter, Berlin, (1994)

[9] Kondratiev, Y.G., Streit, L. and Westerkamp, W., A note on positive distributions in Gaussian analysis, Ukr. Mat. J., Vol. 47, No. 5, (1996)

[10] He, S. W., Yang, W. Q., Yao, R. Q., Wang, J. G., Local times of self-intersection for multi-dimensional Brownian motion. *Nagoya Math. J.* **138** (1995), 51–67.

[11] Hida, T., Stationary Stochastic Processes , Mathematical Notes Series, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1970.

[12] Hida, T., Kuo, H.H., Potthoff, J. and Streit, L., White Noise. An infinite dimensional calculus. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 516p., (1993)

[13] Hu, Y.: Self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motions—via chaos expansion. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 41, 233–250 (2001).

[14] Hu, Y., Nualart, D., Song, J., Integral representation of renormalized self-intersection local times. J. Func. Ana., Vol 255, No. 9 , (2008)

[15] Imkeller, P., Pérez-Abreu, V., Vives, J., Chaos expansions of double intersection local times of Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and renormalization. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **56** (1995), 1–34.

[16] Kato, T., Perturbation theory for linear operators, 2nd edition, SpringerVerlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1976).

[17] Le Gall, J. F.: Le Gall, J. F., Sur le temps local d'intersection du mouvement brownien plan et la méthode de renormalisation de Varadhan. Sém. Prob. XIX, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1123**, Springer, Berlin, 1985, 314–331.

[18] Lévy, P.: Lévy, P., Le mouvement brownien plan. *Amer. J. Math.* **62** (1940), 487–550.

[19] Lyons, T. J., The critical dimension at which quasi-every Brownian motion is self-avoiding. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* (Spec. Suppl. 1986), 87–99.

[20] Ma, Z.-M. and Röckner, M., An introduction to the theory of (non-symmetric) Dirichlet forms, Springer, Berlin, (1992).

[21] Nualart, D., The Malliavin calculus and related topics., Second edition. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2006).

[22] Hu, Y. and Øksendal, B.,Fractional White Noise Calculus and Applications to Finance. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum. Probab. Relat. Top.* Vol. 06, Nr. 1 (2003).
[23] Obata N., White Noise Calculus and Fock Spaces, volume 1577 of LNM, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1994.
[24] Potthoff, J.: On differential operators in White Noise analysis. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae **63**, 333-347 (2000).
[25] Symanzik, K., Euclidean quantum field theory, in "Local Quantum Theory" (R. Jost, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1969.
[26] Varadhan, S. R. S., Appendix to "Euclidean quantum field theory" by K. Symanzik, in "Local Quantum Theory" (R. Jost. ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1969.
[27] Watanabe, H., The local time of self-intersections of Brownian motions as generalized Brownian functionals. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **23** (1991), 1–9.
[28] Westwater, J., On Edward's model for long polymer chains. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **72** (1980), 131–174.
[29] Wolpert, R., Wiener path intersection and local time. *J. Funct. Anal.* **30** (1978), 329–340.
[30] Yor, M., Renormalisation et convergence en loi pour les temps locaux d'intersection du mouvement brownien dans $\mathbb{R}^3$. Séminaire de Probabilité, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1123**, Springer, Berlin, 1985, 350–365.

Technomathematics Group, University of Kaiserslautern
E-mail address: bock@mathematik.uni-kl.de

Functional Analysis and Stochastic Analysis Group, University of Kaiserslautern
E-mail address: fattler@mathematik.uni-kl.de

BiBoS, Universität Bielefeld, Germany; CCM, Unversidade da Madeira, Funchal, Portugal and Physics Deptartment, MSU-IIT, Iligan, Philipinnes
E-mail address: streit@uma.pt